



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,112	12/15/2003	Yongming Lou	P24045	4856

7055 7590 05/08/2007
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE
RESTON, VA 20191

EXAMINER

PONIKIEWSKI, TOMASZ

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2165

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

05/08/2007

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com
pto@gbpatent.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/734,112	Applicant(s) LOU, YONGMING	
	Examiner Tomasz Ponikiewski	Art Unit 2165	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) John Mazzola (applicant's representative). (3) Tomasz Ponikiewski.
 (2) Neveen Abel-Jalil. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 3, 10, 14 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendment to incorporate allowed subject matter and fix outstanding issues.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Tomasz Ponikiewski
 Examiner's signature, if required

**GREENBLUM &
BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.**

FAX MSG NO. P24045.F04

PAGE 1 OF 1

SENDING FAX NO. 703-716-1180

*******CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE*******

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C. which is confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this facsimile information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone at (703) 716-1191 immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

CALLED TEL. NO.: (571) 273-1721 *JS BSE*

TO : U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Attn : Examiner Tomasz Ponikiewski
FROM : Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C.
DATE : April 26, 2007
SUBJECT : U.S. Patent Application No. 10/734,112 in the name of Y. LOU, entitled
"Architecture of Database Application with Robust Online Recoverability"; Our
Ref.: P24045

Dear Examiner Ponikiewski:

Thank you for granting an interview, scheduled for 2:00pm on Tuesday, May 1, 2007, concerning the above-referenced application.

During the interview, I would like to discuss dependent claims 11-12, 34 and 36, which you indicated would be allowable if rewritten into independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and agree to terminology as to independent claim 10 (from which objected to claims 11 and 12 depend) and independent claim 31 (from which objected to claims 34 and 36 depend).

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Best regards,
John Mazzola
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.
(703) 716-1191

{P24045 00179400.DOC}